

Linear Algebra with Application  
(LAWA 2021)

# Lecture 12



Francesco Dolce  
francesco.dolce@fjfi.cvut.cz

May 5, 2021

## 1 Diagonalization (continued)

In the previous lecture we defined diagonalizable and diagonalizing matrices. Here we are going to explain how to diagonalize a matrix. Let us start with an example.

**Example 1** Let us diagonalize the matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & -3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By definition, we need to find an invertible matrix  $P$  such that

$$P^{-1}AP = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_3 \end{pmatrix} = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3),$$

for certain numbers  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$ . Let us set

$$P = (X_1 \ X_2 \ X_3)$$

where

$$X_1 = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad X_2 = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ y_3 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad X_3 = \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \\ z_3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This is equivalent to find  $X_1, X_2$  and  $X_3$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & -3 & 0 \end{pmatrix} (X_1 \ X_2 \ X_3) &= \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & y_1 & z_1 \\ x_2 & y_2 & z_2 \\ x_3 & y_3 & z_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_3 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 x_1 & \lambda_2 y_1 & \lambda_3 z_1 \\ \lambda_1 x_2 & \lambda_2 y_2 & \lambda_3 z_2 \\ \lambda_1 x_3 & \lambda_2 y_3 & \lambda_3 z_3 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= (\lambda_1 X_1 \ \lambda_2 X_2 \ \lambda_3 X_3). \end{aligned}$$

Comparing columns, it shows that  $P^{-1}AP = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$  if and only if  $AX_i = \lambda_i X_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . Moreover, if we want that  $P = (X_1 \ X_2 \ X_3)$  is invertible, we need to make sure that  $X_i \neq O$ .

In the following, we begin to find  $\lambda$  and  $X^* \neq O$  such that  $AX^* = \lambda X^*$ . This is equivalent to asking that the homogenous linear system

$$(A - \lambda I_3) X = O \tag{1}$$

has a nontrivial solution  $X^* \neq O$ . Using the Gaussian algorithm we reduce the matrix  $(A - \lambda I_3)$  into a (reduced) row-echelon form  $B$  which is equivalent to left multiplication by a certain invertible matrix, say  $U$ , that is we have

$$U(A - \lambda I_3) = B.$$

By the Product Theorem we have

$$\det(U) \det(A - \lambda I_3) = \det(B).$$

Since  $\det(U) \neq 0$  (the matrix is invertible), we have

$$\text{rank}(A - \lambda I_3) = \text{rank}(B) < n \Leftrightarrow \det(B) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \det(A - \lambda I_3) = 0.$$

Then we compute the determinant of  $A - \lambda I_3$

$$\begin{aligned} \det(A - \lambda I_3) &= \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \lambda & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 - \lambda & -1 \\ 0 & -3 & -\lambda \end{pmatrix} \\ &= (1 - \lambda) \det \begin{pmatrix} 2 - \lambda & -1 \\ -3 & -\lambda \end{pmatrix} \\ &= (1 - \lambda)(-\lambda(2 - \lambda) - 3) \\ &= (1 - \lambda)(\lambda - 3)(\lambda + 1). \end{aligned}$$

For the equation  $\det(A - \lambda I_3)$ , we obtain three solutions which are

$$\lambda_1 = 1, \quad \lambda_2 = -1 \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_3 = 3.$$

Then, we substitute each  $\lambda_i$  into the Equation (1) to find a basic solution for each equation. For example, we solve

$$(A - \lambda_1 I_3) X_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & -3 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = O,$$

which is equivalent to solve

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We thus get the general solution

$$X_1^* = s(1 \ 0 \ 0)^T$$

where  $s$  is an arbitrary number. We can use, for instance, the basic solution

$$X_1 = (1 \ 0 \ 0)^T$$

which is not a trivial solution as our solution corresponding to  $\lambda_1 = 1$ . Similarly, we can get

$$X_2 = (-2 \ 1 \ 3)^T \quad \text{and} \quad X_3 = (0 \ -1 \ 1)^T$$

corresponding respectively to  $\lambda_2 = -1$  and  $\lambda_3 = 3$ . Note that here  $X_1, X_2, X_3$  can be arbitrary nonzero solutions corresponding to  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$ .

Thus we can solve the equation

$$P^{-1}AP = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad AP = P\text{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$$

by obtaining

$$P = (X_1 \ X_2 \ X_3) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 3 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$\text{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) = \text{diag}(1, -1, 3).$$

Using the Matrix Inverse Algorithm we can find

$$\begin{aligned}
 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -2 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} & \xrightarrow[\substack{R_1 \rightarrow R_1 + 2R_2 \\ R_3 \rightarrow R_3 - 3R_2}]{iii)} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -2 & 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 & 0 & -3 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\
 & \xrightarrow[\substack{R_3 \rightarrow \frac{1}{4}R_3}]{ii)} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -2 & 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -\frac{3}{4} & \frac{1}{4} \end{pmatrix} \\
 & \xrightarrow[\substack{R_1 \rightarrow R_1 + 2R_3 \\ R_2 \rightarrow R_2 + R_3}]{iii)} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -\frac{3}{4} & \frac{1}{4} \end{pmatrix}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $P$  is invertible and

$$P^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} \\ 0 & -\frac{3}{4} & \frac{1}{4} \end{pmatrix}.$$

In conclusion, we have

$$P^{-1}AP = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} \\ 0 & -\frac{3}{4} & \frac{1}{4} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & -3 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 3 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \text{diag}(1, -1, 3).$$

We can generalize the previous example to an  $n \times n$ -matrix. Finding  $P$  such that  $P^{-1}AP$  is a diagonal matrix is equivalent to find  $n$  column vectors  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  and  $n$  numbers  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$  such that

$$AX_i = \lambda_i X_i \quad \text{for each } i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Moreover, if  $P = (X_1 \ X_2 \ \dots \ X_n)$  is invertible,  $A$  is diagonalizable.

## 2 Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors

Let  $A \in \mathcal{M}_{n,n}(\mathbb{R})$ . A number  $\lambda$  is called an *eigenvalue* of  $A$  if

$$AX = \lambda X$$

for some column  $X \neq O$ . Such a nonzero column  $X$  is called an *eigenvector* of  $A$  corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\lambda$ .

Note that the condition  $AX = \lambda X$  is automatically satisfied if  $X = O$ , so the requirement that  $X \neq O$  is critical.

The *characteristic polynomial*  $c_A(x)$  is defined by

$$c_A(x) = \det(xI - A).$$

A number  $\lambda$  is called a *root* of the characteristic polynomial  $c_A(x)$  if  $c_A(\lambda) = 0$ .

Note that  $c_A(\lambda) = 0$  if and only if  $-c_A(\lambda) = 0$ . For this reason, in the following we will work indifferently with both equations  $\det(xI - A) = 0$  and  $\det(A - \lambda I) = 0$ .

**Example 2** Let us consider the matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & -2 \\ 4 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Its characteristic polynomial is

$$\begin{aligned} c_A(x) &= \det(xI - A) \\ &= \det\left(x \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 5 & -2 \\ 4 & -1 \end{pmatrix}\right) \\ &= \det\left(\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & x \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 5 & -2 \\ 4 & -1 \end{pmatrix}\right) \\ &= \det\begin{pmatrix} x-5 & 2 \\ -4 & x+1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= (x-5)(x+1) - 2(-4) \\ &= x^2 - 4x + 3 \\ &= (x-1)(x-3). \end{aligned}$$

The two roots of the characteristic polynomial are thus  $\lambda_1 = 1$  and  $\lambda_2 = 3$ .

**Theorem 3** Let  $A$  be a  $n \times n$ -matrix.

1. The eigenvalues of  $A$  are the roots of the characteristic polynomial  $c_A(x)$  of  $A$ .
2. The eigenvectors  $X$  corresponding to the eigenvalues  $\lambda$  are the nonzero solutions to the homogenous system of linear equations  $(\lambda I - A)X = O$ .

Note that there are many eigenvectors of a square matrix  $A$  associated with a given eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . In fact every nonzero solution  $X$  of  $(\lambda I - A)X = O$  is an eigenvector. Of course the eigenvalue  $\lambda$  is chosen so that there must be nonzero solutions.

The eigenvalues of a real matrix need not to be real numbers.

**Example 4** Let us find the eigenvalues of the matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The characteristic polynomial of the matrix is  $\det(xI - A) = x^2 + 1$ . So by Theorem 3, the eigenvalues of  $A$  are the nonreal complex roots  $\lambda_1 = i$  and  $\lambda_2 = -i$ .

A  $n \times n$ -matrix has  $n$  (possibly complex) eigenvalues, but they may not be distinct.

**Example 5** Let us find the eigenvalues of the matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Its characteristic polynomial is  $c_A(x) = (x - 1)^2$ . So there is only one eigenvalue of  $A$ , namely  $\lambda_1 = 1$ . However,  $\lambda_1$  is a double root of  $c_A(x)$  and we say that  $\lambda_1 = 1$  has *multiplicity 2*.

The following result illustrate the previous example

**Theorem 6** Let  $A \in \mathcal{M}_{n,n}(\mathbb{R})$ .

1.  $A$  is diagonalizable if and only if it has eigenvectors  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  such that the matrix

$$P = (X_1 \quad X_2 \quad \cdots \quad X_n)$$

is invertible.

2. When this is the case, we have

$$P^{-1}AP = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n)$$

where, for each  $i$ ,  $\lambda_i$  is the eigenvalue of  $A$  corresponding to  $X_i$ .

**Example 7** Let us show that the matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

is not diagonalizable.

We know from Example 5 that  $A$  has only one eigenvalue  $\lambda_1 = 1$ , which is of multiplicity 2. But the system of linear equations  $(\lambda_1 I - A)X = O$  has general solution

$$X = s \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

so there is only one basic solution:

$$X_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence we can only choose

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} s & t \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

which is never invertible no matter the choice of  $s$  and  $t$ .