BIE-DML - Discrete Mathematics and Logic

Tutorial 2

Logical Laws, DNF, CNF

Francesco Dolce, Eva Pernecká, Jitka Rybníčková and Jiřina Scholtzová

Faculty of Information Technology Czech Technical University in Prague

> Winter semester 2023/2024 updated: 31/10/2023, 10:30

2.1 Introduction

2.1.1 Logical Laws to remember – all in one table

Theorem 2.1. Given any formula A, B, C, tautology \top and contradiction \bot , the following logical equivalences hold:

1. Commutative laws:	$A \wedge B \vDash B \wedge A$	$A \vee B \vDash B \vee A$
2. Associative laws:	$(A \land B) \land C \vDash A \land (B \land C)$	$(A \lor B) \lor C \vDash A \lor (B \lor C)$
3. Distributive laws:	$A \land (B \lor C) \vDash (A \land B) \lor (A \land C)$	$A \lor (B \land C) \vDash (A \lor B) \land (A \lor C)$
4. Identity laws:	$A \wedge \top \exists A$	$A \lor \bot \boxminus A$
5. Law of excluded middle:	$A \vee \neg A \exists \top$	
6. Law of non-contradiction:	$A \wedge \neg A \exists \bot$	$\neg (A \land \neg A) \exists \top$
7. Law of double negation:	$\neg \neg A \vDash A$	
8. Idempotent laws:	$A \wedge A \boxminus A$	$A \vee A \exists A$
9. Universal bound laws:	$A \wedge \bot \boxminus \bot$	$A \lor \top \exists \top$
10. Negation of \top and \perp :	¬TH⊥	¬⊥Ħ⊤
11. De Morgan laws:	$\neg (A \land B) \vDash \neg A \lor \neg B$	$\neg (A \lor B) \exists \neg A \land \neg B$
12. Absorption laws:	$A \lor (A \land B) \vDash A$	$A \wedge (A \vee B) \exists A$
13. "Golden rule"/"Silver rule":	$A \Rightarrow B \boxminus \neg A \lor B$	$\neg (A \Rightarrow B) \exists A \land \neg B$

Remark 2.2. Given any formula A, B, tautology \top and contradiction \bot , the table below presents the list of the most used logically equivalent formulas to tautology, contradiction, implication and equivalence:

1. ⊤	$A \vee \neg A$
	$A \Leftrightarrow A$
	$\neg (A \land \neg A)$
$2. \perp$	$A \wedge \neg A$
	$A \Leftrightarrow \neg A$
	$\neg(A \lor \neg A)$
3. $A \implies B$	$\neg A \lor B$
	$\neg (A \land \neg B)$
	$\neg B \Rightarrow \neg A$
4. $A \Leftrightarrow B$	$(A \Rightarrow B) \land (B \Rightarrow A)$
	$(A \Rightarrow B) \land (\neg A \Rightarrow \neg B)$
	$(A \vee \neg B) \wedge (\neg A \vee B)$
	$(A \wedge B) \vee (\neg A \wedge \neg B)$
	$\neg A \Leftrightarrow \neg B$

Lemma 2.3 (Properties of Implication).

- $\bullet \ A \Rightarrow B \quad \not\vDash \quad B \Rightarrow A$
- $A \Rightarrow (B \Rightarrow C) \quad \not\vDash \quad (A \Rightarrow B) \Rightarrow C$

Lemma 2.4 (Properties of Equivalence).

- $A \Leftrightarrow B \vDash B \Leftrightarrow A$
- $A \Leftrightarrow (B \Leftrightarrow C) \vDash (A \Leftrightarrow B) \Leftrightarrow C$
- $\bullet \ \neg (A \Leftrightarrow B) \vDash \neg A \Leftrightarrow B$
- $\bullet \ A \Leftrightarrow A \, \exists \, \top$
- $\bullet \ A \Leftrightarrow \neg A \, \boxminus \, \bot$
- $\bullet \ A \Leftrightarrow \top \, \exists \, A$
- $\bullet \ A \Leftrightarrow \bot \, \exists \, \neg A$

2.1.2 Functionally Complete Systems of Logical Connectives

Definition 2.5 (Complete Systems).

A set of logical connectives is (functionally) **complete** iff for any formula there is a logically equivalent formula containing connectives only from this set.

Definition 2.6 (Sheffer symbol and Peirce arrow). We define the **Sheffer symbol** \uparrow (or NAND) as

$$A \uparrow B \vDash \neg (A \land B)$$

... commutative law
... associative law
... negation

We define the **Peirce arrow** \downarrow (or NOR) as

$$A \downarrow B \vDash \neg (A \lor B)$$

Theorem 2.7. These sets of connectives are functionally complete.

- 1. $\{\neg, \lor\};$
- 2. $\{\neg, \land\};$
- 3. $\{\neg, \Rightarrow\};$
- 4. all sets containing the sets above;
- 5. $\{\uparrow\};$
- $6. \ \{\downarrow\}.$

2.1.3 Disjunctive Normal Form and Conjunctive Normal Form of Formulas of PL

Definition 2.8 (Literals, Implicants, DNF).

- A literal is an elementary formula or its negation.
- An **implicant** is a literal or a conjunction of literals.
- A formula is in **disjunctive normal form** (DNF) if it is an implicant or a disjuction of implicants.

Definition 2.9 (Clauses, CNF).

- A clause is a literal or a disjunction of literals.
- A formula is in **conjunctive normal form** (CNF) if it is a clause or a conjunction of clauses.

Example 2.10.

literals
implicants
\dots DNF
$\dots DNF$
\dots DNF
clause
$\dots \mathrm{CNF}$
$\dots \mathrm{CNF}$
both DNF and CNF!

Theorem 2.11. For every formula there is a logically equivalent formula which is in DNF and a logically equivalent formula which is in CNF.

Remark 2.12. DNF and CNF are constructed by application of logical laws, mostly these ones:

• We use distributive laws (in both directions!) for transition between CNF and DNF.

$$- (G \land \neg H) \lor (\neg I \land J \land K) \vDash (G \lor \neg I) \land (G \lor J) \land (G \lor K) \land (\neg H \lor \neg I) \land (\neg H \lor J) \land (\neg H \lor K).$$

• We omit contradictions (\perp) in DNF and tautologies (\top) in CNF.

$$- (A \lor B) \land \neg B \vDash (A \land \neg B) \lor \underbrace{(B \land \neg B)}_{\bot} \vDash A \land \neg B.$$
$$- A \land \underbrace{(B \lor \neg B)}_{\top} \vDash A.$$

Observation 2.13. By negation of a DNF (and the negation of every its implicant), we get a formula in CNF. However, this formula is a **negation** of the original formula (i.e., **not a CNF of the original** formula!), and vice versa.

Example 2.14. Consider the formula in DNF *F*:

$$(G \land \neg H) \lor (\neg I \land J \land K).$$

Then $\neg F$ is a formula in CNF which looks like:

$$\neg ((G \land \neg H) \lor (\neg I \land J \land K)) \vDash \neg (G \land \neg H) \land \neg (\neg I \land J \land K) \vDash \\ \vDash (\neg G \lor H) \land (I \lor \neg J \lor \neg K).$$

However, the CNF of F is obtained by distributing the two implicants, i.e.,

$$\begin{split} (G \wedge \neg H) \vee (\neg I \wedge J \wedge K) & \vDash (G \vee (\neg I \wedge J \wedge K) \wedge (\neg H \vee (\neg I \wedge J \wedge K)) \\ & \vDash ((G \vee \neg I) \wedge (G \vee J) \wedge (G \vee K)) \wedge ((\neg H \vee \neg I) \wedge (\neg H \vee J) \wedge (\neg H \vee K)) \\ & \vDash (G \vee \neg I) \wedge (G \vee J) \wedge (G \vee K) \wedge (\neg H \vee \neg I) \wedge (\neg H \vee J) \wedge (\neg H \vee K) \\ & \nvDash (\neg G \vee H) \wedge (I \vee \neg J \vee \neg K). \end{split}$$

The CNF of F is $(G \lor \neg I) \land (G \lor J) \land (G \lor K) \land (\neg H \lor \neg I) \land (\neg H \lor J) \land (\neg H \lor K)$ but not $(\neg G \lor H) \land (I \lor \neg J \lor \neg K)$ which is **only** a \neg DNF of F.

!!! Remember: \neg DNF is CNF, but of a different formula!

Definition 2.15 (Minterm, Maxterm, Full DNF, Full CNF).

- A minterm is an implicant which contains all elementary formulas.
- A maxterm is a clause which contains all elementary formulas.
- A formula is in **full DNF** if it is a disjunction of minterms.
- A formula is in **full CNF** if it is a conjunction of maxterms.

Theorem 2.16 (Existence of DNF and CNF of formulas of PL).

For every formula there is a logically equivalent formula which is in full DNF and a logically equivalent formula which is in full CNF.

2.2 Exercises

Exercise 2.1. Select some of the laws in Theorem 2.1 and prove their correctness.

Find a formula with at least two elementary formulas which is a tautology. Do the same for a contradiction.

Exercise 2.2. Find logically equivalent formulas which have negation only in front of elementary formulas A, B, C, D.

a)
$$\neg (A \Rightarrow (B \Rightarrow C)),$$

b) $\neg (A \Leftrightarrow (B \land (C \Rightarrow D))),$

c) $\neg (A \lor (B \Rightarrow (C \land D))),$

d) $\neg((A \Rightarrow B) \land (C \Leftrightarrow D)).$

Exercise 2.3. Translate the following statements into formulas of PL. Negate the formulas and then use the known laws to "push" the negations in front of elementary formulas. Convert the resulting formulas back into natural language.

- a) "If the sun is shining I'll go on a trip or swimming."
- b) "Number x is divisible by 6 if and only if it is divisible by 3 and 2."
- c) "Bolzano-Cauchy criterion is a necessary condition for a sequence to converge."

Exercise 2.4. Using logical laws simplify the following formulas. Identify all rules which you use.

a)
$$A \Rightarrow (B \lor A)$$
,

- b) $A \Rightarrow (B \Rightarrow (B \Rightarrow A)),$
- c) $(A \land B) \Rightarrow (A \lor C),$
- d) $(A \Rightarrow B) \lor (B \Rightarrow A),$
- e) $\neg(A \Rightarrow B) \Rightarrow A$,
- f) * $\neg((A \Leftrightarrow \neg(B \land C)) \land A).$

Exercise 2.5. Pick from the sentences listed below those with the same meaning as "It's not the case that I live in Peru or in Brazil".

- a) "I don't live in Peru and I don't live in Brazil."
- b) "I don't live in Peru or I don't live in Brazil."
- c) "It's not the case that I live in Peru and it's not the case that I live in Brazil."
- d) "I don't live in Peru and I live in Brazil."

Exercise 2.6. Pick from the sentences listed below those with the same meaning as "It's not the case that I live in Peru and in Brazil".

a) "I don't live in Peru and I don't live in Brazil."

- b) "I don't live in Peru or I don't live in Brazil."
- c) "It's not the case that I live in Peru or it's not the case that I live in Brazil."
- d) "I live in Peru and in Brazil."

Exercise 2.7. Convert the following formulas to DNF and to CNF.

a)
$$A \Rightarrow (B \lor A)$$
,
b) * $(A \Rightarrow B) \Leftrightarrow (B \Rightarrow A)$,
c) * $\neg ((A \Leftrightarrow \neg (B \land C)) \land A)$,
d) $\neg A \Leftrightarrow (B \lor A)$,
e) $(\neg A \land B) \Rightarrow (\neg B \lor C)$,
f) $\neg (A \Rightarrow B) \Rightarrow (\neg A \lor C)$.

Exercise 2.8. Find full DNF and full CNF of the formulas from Exercise 2.7.

2.3 More exercises

Exercise 2.9 (Necessary / sufficient conditions). Formalize the statements below.

- a) "To get to university it is necessary to finish high school."
- b) "To get to university it is sufficient to finish high school."
- c) "To get to university it is necessary and sufficient to finish high school."

Exercise 2.10 (Necessary / sufficient conditions). Formalize the statements below.

- a) "To be able to drive a car it is necessary to be at least 18 years old."
- b) "To be able to drive a car it is sufficient to be at least 18 years old."
- c) "To be able to drive a car it is necessary and sufficient to be at least 18 years old."

Exercise 2.11. Use distributive laws on these formulas.

- a) $(A \lor B) \land C \land D$,
- b) $(A \wedge B \wedge C) \vee D$,
- c) $A \wedge \neg B \wedge (A \vee B)$.

Exercise 2.12. Use logical laws to show that:

- a) $(A \lor B) \land (A \lor \neg B) \vDash A;$
- b) $A \wedge (\neg A \lor B) \vDash A \land B;$
- c) $A \Rightarrow (B \Rightarrow (\neg A \Rightarrow \neg B)) \vDash \top;$
- d) $(A \Rightarrow B) \Rightarrow (\neg A \Rightarrow \neg B) \vDash A \lor \neg B;$
- e) $(A \lor B) \Rightarrow (A \land B) \vDash A \Leftrightarrow B;$
- f) $(A \land B) \Rightarrow (A \lor B) \vDash \top$.

Exercise 2.13. Convert the following formulas to logically equivalent ones containing only the given set of connectives.

- a) $(A \Rightarrow B) \land C$, negation and disjunction;
- b) $(A \lor B) \land C$, negation and implication;
- c) $A \Rightarrow (B \lor C)$, negation and conjugacy;
- d) $(B \lor C) \Rightarrow A$, negation and conjunction.

Exercise 2.14. Pick from the sentences listed below those with the same meaning as "It's not the case that if I live in Peru then I live in Brazil".

- a) "It's not the case that I live in Peru or it's not the case that I live in Brazil."
- b) "I live in Peru and I don't live in Brazil."

- c) "I live in Peru and in Brazil."
- d) "I live in Peru or I don't live in Brazil."

Exercise 2.15. Pick from the sentences listed below those with the same meaning as "It's not the case that I am hungry or it's not the case that I am thirsty".

- a) "It's not the case that I am hungry or thirsty".
- b) "It's not the case that I am hungry and thirsty".
- c) "It's not the case that if I am hungry then I am thirsty".

Exercise 2.16. Pick from the sentences listed below those with the same meaning as "It's not the case that I am hungry and it's not the case that I am thirsty".

- a) "It's not the case that I am hungry or thirsty".
- b) "It's not the case that I am hungry and thirsty".
- c) "It's not the case that if I am hungry then I am thirsty".

Exercise 2.17. * Pick from the sentences listed below those with the same meaning as "I am hungry but it's not the case that I am thirsty".

- a) "It's not the case that I am hungry or thirsty".
- b) "It's not the case that I am hungry and thirsty".
- c) "It's not the case that if I am hungry then I am thirsty".
- d) "It's not the case that if I am hungry then I am not thirsty".

Exercise 2.18. Decide which of the following sets are functionally complete.

- a) $\{\neg, \wedge\},\$
- b) $\{\neg,\Rightarrow\},\$
- c) $\{\neg, \Leftrightarrow\},\$
- d) $\{\wedge, \lor, \Rightarrow\},\$
- e) Peirce symbol NOR: $(A \downarrow B) \Leftrightarrow \neg(A \lor B)$.

Exercise 2.19. Is \downarrow (resp., \uparrow) commutative / associative / idempotent?

Exercise 2.20. 1. Express $A \land \neg A$ using only \downarrow .

2. Express $A \lor \neg A$ using \downarrow .

Exercise 2.21. What are the formulas below logically equivalent to (choose from $A, \neg A, \top, \bot$)? a) $A \downarrow \top$,

b) $A \downarrow \bot$,

- c) $A \uparrow \top$,
- d) $A \uparrow \bot$.

Exercise 2.22. Find DNF and CNF of the following formulas and determine in how many valuations they are true. Find full DNF and full CNF.

- a) $(A \Rightarrow B) \land B$,
- b) $A \Rightarrow (A \land B)$,
- c) $(A \Rightarrow B) \lor \neg (C \Rightarrow D)$,
- d) $\neg((P \land \neg Q) \Rightarrow \neg(R \lor S)),$
- e) $(A \land \neg(C \Rightarrow D)) \Rightarrow (B \land E),$
- f) * $(A \land B) \Rightarrow (A \Rightarrow C)$.

Exercise 2.23. ** Verify that $A \Rightarrow \bot \exists \neg A$. Use this fact to prove that $\{\bot, \Rightarrow\}$ represents a functionally complete system.